arXiv:1704.00676v1 [nlin.CD] 18 Mar 2017

A new approach to fuzzy sets: Application to the design of non-sinusoidal limit-cycle oscillations

Vladimir García-Morales

Departament de Termodinàmica, Universitat de València,
E-46100 Burjassot, Spain
garmovla@uv.es

It is shown that characteristic functions of classical 'crisp' sets can be made fuzzy by means of a $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$-function that we have recently introduced, and where the fuzziness parameter $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ controls how much a fuzzy set deviates from the crisp set obtained in the limit $\kappa \to 0$. A theorem is established that yields novel expressions for the union, negation, and intersection of both classical and fuzzy sets. As an application, we establish a theorem on the shaping of limit cycle oscillations far from bifurcations in smooth deterministic nonlinear dynamical systems governed by differential equations. We describe two specific examples showing how, with help of fuzzy sets, limit cycles with the shape of ellipses and Cassinian ovals can be mathematically designed. Finally, as a corollary to our theorem, we briefly sketch a generalization of the Stuart-Landau equation to non-sinusoidal oscillators.

I. INTRODUCTION

The theory of fuzzy sets [1–3] generalizes the traditional concept of a class (i.e. a set of objects for which a certain property holds) to situations where membership to the class is vaguely defined so that a continuum of grades of membership is possible. Technically speaking, the membership function of a fuzzy set (i.e. the characteristic or indicator function) is not a Boolean function as is the case of a classical 'crisp' set (see [4] for a review). Rather, the truth value can be any real number in the unit interval. Fuzzy sets, and the closely associated concept of fuzzy logic, have been applied to a wide variety of fields including control theory and artificial intelligence [5].

In this article a new approach to fuzzy sets is presented based on notions introduced in our previous, recent works [6–8]. These are the $\mathcal{B}$-function [9], that, as we show here, allows characteristic functions of classical sets to be specified, and its one-parameter κ deformation, the $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$-function, that allows fuzzy sets to be constructed and operated with. We first introduce traditional classes and then explain how they can be fuzzyfied in a straightforward manner. The laws of algebra of both traditional and fuzzy sets are addressed and simple and closed expressions that are easy to apply are derived. Our formulation is different to previous ones found in the literature (see Table 1 in [4]) because the 'aggregation' operators (defining union and intersection of fuzzy sets) are different in our case. Our definitions are grounded on a theorem that we first state and prove for crisp sets and which we then proceed to generalize to fuzzy sets by exploiting the properties of the $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$-function.

As an application, we show how the shape of limit-cycle oscillations in smooth nonlinear deterministic systems governed by systems of differential equations can be explicitly designed beforehand by using fuzzy sets as introduced in this article. We prove a general theorem that establishes the existence of a limit cycle with predefined shape for a wide variety of smooth dynamical systems and illustrate this theorem with examples. Our theorem can be useful in the mathematical modelling of, e.g. relaxation oscillators [10], i.e. nonlinear oscillators whose periodic behavior strongly depart from sinusoidal oscillations. Typical examples of these oscillators, of biological interest, are provided by the van der Pol oscillator [11], the FitzHugh-Nagumo model for nerve cells [12, 13], the Oregonator for the Belousov-Zhabotinsky reaction [14–16], the Morris-Lecar model for neuronal oscillations [17], genetic oscillators [18], and modified versions of the Selkov model for glycolytic oscillations [19–22].

The outline of this article is as follows. In Section II we present mathematical structures that allow characteristic functions for crisp sets to be defined. These are the $\mathcal{B}$-function and its modifications. We then prove a theorem that yields a closed expression for the characteristic function of the union of a collection of sets. In Section III we present our concise approach to fuzzy sets, based on the results of Section II. Finally, in Section IV we prove a Theorem on the existence of limit-cycles with predesigned shape for a wide variety of smooth dynamical systems. Our result is both an application of the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem and of fuzzy sets as introduced in this article. We then discuss two specific examples (elliptic limit cycles and Cassini ovals) of the application of our theorem.

II. THE $\mathcal{B}$-FUNCTION AND CLASSICAL SETS

We first discuss in this Section classical 'crisp' sets, introducing some functions that allow their characteristic functions to be expressed in a useful way. For arbitrary $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ the $\mathcal{B}$-function is

defined as [6, 9, 23–25]

$$\mathcal{B}(x,y)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x+y}{|x+y|}-\frac{x-y}{|x-y|}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\text{sign}(x+y)-\text{sign}(x-y)\right)=\begin{cases}\text{sign}(y) & \text{if } |x|<|y| \\ \text{sign}(y)/2 & \text{if } |x|=|y|, y\neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases} \tag{1}$$

This function has the form of a rectangular function [6] whose thickness is controlled by the value of y and whose height has the same sign as y.

If $x\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $y\in\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right]$, $y\in\mathbb{R}$, the $\mathcal{B}$-function, Eq. (1), reduces to the Kronecker delta of its first argument

$$\mathcal{B}(x,y)=\delta_{x0}=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } x=0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x\neq 0\end{cases}\qquad\begin{array}{l} x\in\mathbb{Z}, y\in\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right], y\in\mathbb{R} \\ x\in\mathbb{Z}, y\in\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right], y\in\mathbb{R}\end{array} \tag{2}$$

and, therefore, if $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathcal{B}\left(m-n,\varepsilon\right)=\mathcal{B}\left(m-n,\frac{1}{2}\right)=\delta_{mn}$ for any $\varepsilon\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $\varepsilon\in\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right]$.

The following is the *splitting property* of the $\mathcal{B}$-function, and is valid for any $x,y,z\in\mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{B}(x,y+z)=\mathcal{B}(x+y,z)+\mathcal{B}(x-z,y) \tag{3}$$

and is simple to prove, since

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{B}(x+y,z)+\mathcal{B}(x-z,y)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\text{sign}(x+y+z)-\text{sign}(x+y-z)\right)+\\&+\frac{1}{2}\left(\text{sign}(x-z+y)-\text{sign}(x-z-y)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\text{sign}(x+y+z)-\text{sign}(x-y-z)\right)\\&=\mathcal{B}(x,y+z)\end{aligned}$$

For $m,n,\varepsilon\in\mathbb{R}$ we also have the *block coalescence property*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mathcal{B}(m-2k\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\;=\;\mathcal{B}(m-(n-1)\varepsilon,n\varepsilon) \tag{4}$$

This is proved by induction. For $n=1$ the result is obviously valid. Let us assume it also valid for n terms. Then, for $n+1$ terms we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathcal{B}(m-2k\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\;&=\;\mathcal{B}(m-2n\varepsilon,\varepsilon)+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mathcal{B}(m-2k\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\\&=\;\mathcal{B}(m-2n\varepsilon,\varepsilon)+\mathcal{B}(m-(n-1)\varepsilon,n\varepsilon)\\&=\;\mathcal{B}(m-n\varepsilon,(n+1)\varepsilon)-\mathcal{B}(m-(n-1)\varepsilon,n\varepsilon)+\mathcal{B}(m-(n-1)\varepsilon,n\varepsilon)\\&=\;\mathcal{B}(m-n\varepsilon,(n+1)\varepsilon)\end{aligned}$$

where the splitting property, Eq. (3) has been used. This establishes the validity of Eq. (4).

Any *inequality* of the form $r<x<q$ involving the real numbers r and q ($r<q$) can thus be replaced by an *identity*

$$\mathcal{B}\left(x-\frac{q+r}{2},\frac{q-r}{2}\right)=1\qquad\text{(i.e)}\qquad\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-r}{|x-r|}-\frac{x-q}{|x-q|}\right)=1 \tag{5}$$

We note that, by definition, the $\mathcal{B}$-function, as defined above, returns $\pm 1/2$ at the borders, the sign depending on the second argument. The functions $\mathcal{B}_{++}(x,y)$, $\mathcal{B}_{--}(x,y)$, $\mathcal{B}_{+-}(x,y)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{-+}(x,y)$ defined below are equal to $\mathcal{B}(x,y)$ save on the borders,

$$\mathcal{B}_{++}(x,y) \equiv \mathcal{B}(0,\mathcal{B}(x,y)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [-y,y], y>0 \\ -1 & \text{if } x \in [y,-y], y<0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin [-|y|,|y|] \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{--}(x,y) \equiv 2\mathcal{B}(x,y) - \mathcal{B}_{++}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in (-y,y), y>0 \\ -1 & \text{if } x \in (y,-y), y<0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin (-|y|,|y|) \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{-+}(x,y) \equiv \mathcal{B}\left(0,|x+y|\mathcal{B}(x,y)\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in (-y,y], y>0 \\ -1 & \text{if } x \in [y,-y), y<0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin (-|y|,|y|] \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{+-}(x,y) \equiv \mathcal{B}\left(0,|x-y|\mathcal{B}(x,y)\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [-y,y), y>0 \\ -1 & \text{if } x \in (y,-y], y<0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin [-|y|,|y|) \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

Let now U denote the universe of discourse and let $x \in U$. Let also $A \subseteq U$ be a set. Abstractly, the characteristic function of A, $\mathrm{Set}(x;A) : U \to \{0,1\}$, is defined as [26, 27]

$$\mathrm{Set}\,(x;A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

The functions defined above, are useful to express characteristic functions of crisp sets. For example, the characteristic function $\mathrm{Set}(x;[a,b])$ of the closed interval on the real line $[a,b]$ $(a<b)$ can be expressed as

$$\mathrm{Set}(x;[a,b]) = \mathcal{B}_{++}\left(x - \frac{b+a}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2}\right) \tag{11}$$

As another example, the characteristic function $\mathrm{Set}(x,y;x^2+y^2<R)$ of an open disk containing all those points (x,y) in the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ for which $x^2+y^2<R^2$ is

$$\mathrm{Set}(x,y;\ x^2+y^2<R^2) = \mathcal{B}_{--}\left(x^2+y^2, R^2\right) \tag{12}$$

The characteristic function $\mathrm{Set}\,(x;A\cap B)$ of the intersection $A\cap B$ of two sets A and B is the product of their individual characteristic functions

$$\mathrm{Set}\,(x;A\cap B) = \mathrm{Set}\,(x;A)\,\mathrm{Set}\,(x;B) \tag{13}$$

If A and B are *disjoint*, their intersection is equal to the empty set $A\cap B=\emptyset$ and the characteristic function of the union $A\cup B$ of A and B is given by

$$\mathrm{Set}\,(x;A\cup B) = \mathrm{Set}\,(x;A) + \mathrm{Set}\,(x;B) \tag{14}$$

If A and B are not disjoint, Eq. (14) counts twice the elements in $A\cap B$ and it is necessary to correct this overcount by subtracting once the contribution of the intersection [26]

$$\mathrm{Set}\,(x;A\cup B) = \mathrm{Set}\,(x;A) + \mathrm{Set}\,(x;B) - \mathrm{Set}\,(x;A\cap B) \tag{15}$$

If one considers the union of three sets, we have, consequently

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Set}\left(x; A\cup B\cup C\right) &= \mathrm{Set}\left(x; A\right) + \mathrm{Set}\left(x; B\right) + \mathrm{Set}\left(x; C\right)\\ &- \mathrm{Set}\left(x; A\cap B\right) - \mathrm{Set}\left(x; A\cap C\right) - \mathrm{Set}\left(x; B\cap C\right)\\ &+ \mathrm{Set}\left(x; A\cap B\cap C\right)\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

An induction argument, employing Eq. (15) iteratively, shows that the characteristic function $\mathrm{Set}\left(x; \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} S^{(j)}\right)$ of the union of n sets $S^{(1)}$, ..., $S^{(n)}$ is given by

$$\mathrm{Set}\left(x; \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} S^{(j)}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{1\le j_1<\cdots<j_k\le n} \mathrm{Set}\left(x; \bigcap_{m=1}^{k} S^{(j_m)}\right) \tag{17}$$

where

$$\mathrm{Set}\left(x; \bigcap_{m=1}^{k} S^{(j_m)}\right) = \prod_{m=1}^{k} \mathrm{Set}\left(x; S^{(j_m)}\right) \tag{18}$$

This expression is related to the inclusion-exclusion principle of combinatorics [28].

Alternatively, thanks to our approach using the $\mathcal{B}$-function, we have the following straightforward result for the characteristic function of the union of a collection of sets. We shall use below some consequences of this result in constructing the algebra of fuzzy sets within our approach.

Theorem II.1. *[29] Let U denote the universe of discourse, let $x \in U$ be an element of U, and let a collection of n sets included in U be denoted by $S^{(1)}$,..., $S^{(n)}$. The characteristic functions* $\mathrm{Set}\left(x; S_{=m}\right)$, $\mathrm{Set}\left(x; S_{\le m}\right)$ *and* $\mathrm{Set}\left(x; S_{>m}\right)$ *of the elements x that* exactly *belong to m sets, to* no more than *m sets and to* more than *m sets of the collection are, respectively, given by*

$$\mathrm{Set}\left(x; S_{=m}\right) = \mathcal{B}\left(m - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{Set}\left(x; S^{(j)}\right), \varepsilon\right) \qquad \forall \varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} \tag{19}$$

$$\mathrm{Set}\left(x; S_{\le m}\right) = \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{m+1}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{Set}\left(x; S^{(j)}\right), \frac{m}{2}\right) \tag{20}$$

$$\mathrm{Set}\left(x; S_{>m}\right) = \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{n+m+1}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{Set}\left(x; S^{(j)}\right), \frac{n-m}{2}\right) \tag{21}$$

Proof. From Eq. (1), we have that $\mathcal{B}\left(m - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{Set}\left(x; S^{(j)}\right), \varepsilon\right) = 1$, for any $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, only if

$$-\varepsilon < m - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{Set}\left(x; S^{(j)}\right) < \varepsilon$$

We note that since both m and $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{Set}\left(x; S^{(j)}\right)$ are non-negative integers, the only possibility to satisfy this inequality is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{Set}\left(x; S^{(j)}\right) = m$$

which implies that x exactly belongs to m sets. Otherwise, $\mathcal{B}\left(m-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right),\frac{1}{2}\right)=0$. The same conclusions are trivially reached from Eq. (2) since the $\mathcal{B}$-function reduces to a Kronecker delta of the first argument in this case. Therefore, Eq. (19) follows.

Eq. (20) is proved in a similar way. We have $\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{m+1}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right),\frac{m}{2}\right)=1$ if

$$-m<m+1-2\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right)<m$$

and zero otherwise. Let $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right)=k$. The inequality is then satisfied if

$$0<1+2(m-k)<2m$$

i.e. if $1\leq k\leq m$. This means that x belongs to, at least, one set of the collection, and to no more than m sets. Therefore, the characteristic function $\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S_{\leq m}\right)$ is given by Eq. (20).

Finally, to prove Eq. (21) note that, if $\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{n+m+1}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right),\frac{n-m}{2}\right)=1$, then

$$-n+m<n+m+1-2\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right)<n-m$$

Let $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right)=k$. Thus,

$$0<2(n-k)+1<2(n-m)\qquad\rightarrow\qquad-2(n-m)<2m+1<2k\qquad\rightarrow\qquad m<k$$

This means that $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right)>m$, i.e. that x belongs to more than m sets of the collection. □

Corollary. *The characteristic function* $\mathrm{Set}\left(x;\bigcup_{j=1}^{n}S^{(j)}\right)$ *of the union of* n *sets* $S^{(1)},\ldots,S^{(n)}$ *is*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;\bigcup_{j=1}^{n}S^{(j)}\right)&=\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{n+1}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right),\ \frac{n}{2}\right)\\&=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2n+1-2\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right)}{|2n+1-2\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right)|}-\frac{1-2\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right)}{|1-2\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right)|}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

Proof. The result follows by taking $m=n$ in Eq. (20) or $m=0$ in Eq. (21). The second of the identities just results from the definition of the $\mathcal{B}$-function, Eq. (1).

It is useful to note that this result can also be directly proved from the block coalescence property of the $\mathcal{B}$-function. From Eq. (4), by taking $m=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right)$ and $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2}$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathcal{B}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right)-k,\frac{1}{2}\right)=\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{n+1}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right),\frac{n}{2}\right)\tag{23}$$

The left-hand-side of this latter expression is equal to $\mathrm{Set}\left(x;\bigcup_{j=1}^{n}S^{(j)}\right)$ since it evaluates to how many sets in the union does x belong and returns one if it belongs to any of them and zero otherwise. This again proves the corollary. □

Corollary.

$$\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right)=\mathcal{B}\left(1-\mathrm{Set}\left(x;S^{(j)}\right),\ \frac{1}{2}\right)\tag{24}$$

Proof. Take $n = 1$ in Eq. (22) and note that $S^{(1)}$ may indeed refer to any set $S^{(j)}$ in the union since the n different values of the integer label j can be freely attributed. □

The simplicity of our expression for the characteristic function of the union of n sets Eq. (22) is apparent and it admits a generalization to fuzzy sets as shown in the next section.

III. FUZZY SETS: THE κ-DEFORMATION OF THE $\mathcal{B}$-FUNCTION

We now introduce $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$-function, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$, which acts as a one-parameter family of deformations of the $\mathcal{B}$-function, and is defined as

$$\mathcal{B}_\kappa(x, y) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\tanh\left(\frac{x+y}{\kappa}\right) - \tanh\left(\frac{x-y}{\kappa}\right)\right) \tag{25}$$

In general, $0 \leq |\mathcal{B}_\kappa(x, y)| \leq 1$. Indeed, for $y > 0$ we have $0 \leq \mathcal{B}_\kappa(x, y) \leq 1$. For all finite values of the real variables x and y, the $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$-function satisfies the limits [6]:

$$\lim_{\kappa\to\infty} \mathcal{B}_\kappa\,(x,\ y) \ =\ 0 \qquad\qquad \lim_{\kappa\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{B}_\kappa\,(x,\ y)}{\mathcal{B}_\kappa\,(0,\ y)} = 1 \tag{26}$$

$$\lim_{\kappa\to 0} \mathcal{B}_\kappa\,(x,\ y) \ =\ \mathcal{B}(x, y) \qquad\qquad \lim_{\kappa\to 0} \mathcal{B}_\kappa\,(0,\ y) = \text{sign}\ y \tag{27}$$

The function $\mathcal{B}_\kappa\,(x,\ y)$ is analytic both in x and y. Thus the $\mathcal{B}$-function is recovered from the $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$ function in the limit $\kappa \to 0$. In the limit $\kappa \to \infty$ it is vanishingly small everywhere. However, note that, quite importantly

$$\frac{1}{2y}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \mathcal{B}_\kappa\,(x,\ y) = 1 \tag{28}$$

a result that is independent of κ. Thus, if we take $y = \frac{1}{2}$, we can interpret $p(x) = \mathcal{B}_\kappa\left(x,\ \frac{1}{2}\right)$ as a probability distribution of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Because of all above properties, we refer to κ as the *fuzziness parameter*. When $\kappa \to 0$ the limit of crisp sets is obtained and for κ increasing, the sets become more and more 'vaguely' defined.

The splitting and block-coalescence properties, Eqs. 3 and 4 also hold for the $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$-function [6]

$$\mathcal{B}_\kappa(x, y + z) \ =\ \mathcal{B}_\kappa(x + y, z) + \mathcal{B}_\kappa(x - z, y) \tag{29}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{B}_\kappa(x - 2ky, y) \ =\ \mathcal{B}_\kappa(x - (n-1)y, ny) \tag{30}$$

for any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and for every κ.

The results in the previous section can now be fruitfully exploited to construct fuzzy sets. Let S be a set for which a characteristic function $\text{Set}\,(x; S)$ can be defined in terms of the $\mathcal{B}_{++}$, $\mathcal{B}_{+-}$, $\mathcal{B}_{-+}$, $\mathcal{B}_{--}$ functions described in the previous section. The fuzzy version of the characteristic function $\text{Set}_\kappa\,(x; S)$ is simply obtained by replacing any occurrence of any of these functions by $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$ (note that in passing to the fuzzy characteristic function, whether the original set was open or closed becomes irrelevant). For example, the function

$$\text{Set}_\kappa(x, y;\ x^2 + y^2 < R^2) = \mathcal{B}_\kappa\left(x^2 + y^2, R^2\right) \tag{31}$$



FIG. 1: The fuzzy characteristic function $\mathrm{Set}_\kappa(x, y;\ x^2 + y^2 < R^2)$ corresponding to a disk of radius $R = 1$ on the plane, for increasing values of the fuzziness parameter κ indicated on the panels.

constitutes the fuzzy version of Eq. (12). In Fig. 1 this function is plotted in the plane for a disk with $R = 1$ and the κ values indicated over the panels.

The complement $\overline{S}$ of a fuzzy set S has characteristic function given by

$$\mathrm{Set}_\kappa\left(x; \overline{S}\right) = 1 - \mathrm{Set}_\kappa\left(x; S\right) \tag{32}$$

The fuzzy union of n fuzzy sets S_κ^j, $j = 1, \ldots, n$ is given in terms of their κ-characteristic function by

$$\mathrm{Set}_\kappa\left(x; \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} S_\kappa^{(j)}\right) = \mathcal{B}_\kappa\left(\frac{n+1}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{Set}_\kappa\left(x; S^{(j)}\right),\ \frac{n}{2}\right) \tag{33}$$

The validity of the latter equality is warranted by the fact that the $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$ function also obeys the block coalescence property, Eq. (30) and this property is all what is needed to prove Eq.(33), thus generalizing Eq. (22) to fuzzy sets (see the proof of Eq. (22) above). The fuzzy intersection of n sets is given by

$$\mathrm{Set}_\kappa\left(x; \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} S_\kappa^{(j)}\right) = \mathcal{B}_\kappa\left(\frac{1}{2} - \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{Set}_\kappa\left(x; S^{(j)}\right), \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{34}$$

Sets can also be constructed out of fuzzy sets by means of all tools introduced in the previous section. For example, the set ∂S_κ with characteristic function

$$\mathrm{Set}\left(x; \partial S_\kappa\right) = \mathcal{B}_{++}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \mathrm{Set}_\kappa\left(x; S\right), \epsilon\right) \tag{35}$$

is equal to one for all those elements that satisfy $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon \leq \mathrm{Set}_\kappa\left(x; S\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$, i.e. those that lie on the 'border' of the fuzzy set with characteristic function $\mathrm{Set}_\kappa\left(x; S\right)$ up to tolerance ϵ.

IV. APPLICATION: SHAPING LIMIT-CYCLE OSCILLATIONS IN NONLINEAR DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

The above concepts can be applied to design limit-cycle oscillations far away from bifurcations of nonlinear dynamical systems. We present this application as a theorem and discuss some specific examples.

Theorem IV.1. *Let D be a domain of the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ containing the origin and bounded by a closed curve $\partial D \in C^1$. Let a point $\mathbf{r}$ in the plane be given in polar coordinates $\mathbf{r} = (r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta)$ with r being the radius and θ the angle. Let t denote the time variable and ω a natural frequency. Then, the dynamical system*

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \left(\mathrm{Set}_\kappa(\mathbf{r}; D) - \frac{1}{2}\right) r \tag{36}$$

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \omega \tag{37}$$

has a stable limit cycle in the asymptotic regime $\kappa \sim 0$ with shape asymptotically given by ∂D. In the limit $\kappa \to \infty$ the origin is a stable fixed point and there is no limit cycle.

Proof. The function $\mathrm{Set}_\kappa(\mathbf{r}; D)$ is continuous and differentiable for all finite non-vanishing κ being also monotonically decreasing from the interior to the exterior of D in the asymptotic regime $\kappa \sim 0$. One can find domains D' and D'' such that $D' \subset D \subset D''$ and such that both D' and D'' contain the origin. Now, the radial velocity is positive on the boundary $\partial D'$ of D' while it is negative on the boundary $\partial D''$ of D''. Thus, the difference set $D'' \setminus D'$ is a connected set with interior boundary $\partial D'$ and exterior boundary $\partial D''$ and it does not contain the origin, being also a trapping region for the dynamics. Then, by the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem [30–32], it contains a limit cycle (since there are no fixed points in the trapping region). The ω-limit set thus approaches ∂D in the asymptotic regime $\kappa \sim 0$.

In the limit $\kappa \to \infty$, $\mathrm{Set}_\kappa(\mathbf{r}; D) \to 0$ in the whole plane, i.e, independently of $\mathbf{r}$ and the radial velocity is negative everywhere. As a consequence, the origin attracts all trajectories. □

For intermediate κ regimes bifurcations should necessarily occur separating the qualitatively distinct behavior found in the asymptotic regimes $\kappa \to 0$ and $\kappa \to \infty$. The simplest one in this scenario (but not necessarily the only one that may occur) is a supercritical Andronov-Hopf bifurcation [33] in which the stable fixed point at κ sufficiently large loses stability to a limit cycle as κ is decreased. This happens at the critical value κ_c that is the solution of the equation $\mathrm{Set}_{\kappa_c}(\mathbf{0}; D) = \frac{1}{2}$. At $\kappa = \kappa_c$ a limit cycle emanates from the origin and, as the fuzziness parameter κ becomes vanishingly small, the limit cycle born at the bifurcation approaches the shape ∂D.

Let us consider as a specific example the domain in the plane bounded by an ellipse with radii a and b given by the equation

$$\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^2 = 1 \tag{38}$$

In polar coordinates $x = r\cos\theta$ and $y = r\sin\theta$ and the domain D enclosed by this curve has thus characteristic function

$$\mathrm{Set}(\mathbf{r}; D) = \mathcal{B}_{--}\left(\left(\frac{r\cos\theta}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{r\sin\theta}{b}\right)^2, 1\right) \tag{39}$$

and it contains the origin since $\mathrm{Set}(\mathbf{0}; D) = 1$. A fuzzy version of this characteristic function is obtained by just changing $\mathcal{B}_{--}$ to $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$ as described in the previous section

$$\mathrm{Set}_\kappa(\mathbf{r}; D) = \mathcal{B}_\kappa\left(\left(\frac{r\cos\theta}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{r\sin\theta}{b}\right)^2, 1\right) \tag{40}$$



FIG. 2: Phase portraits of the dynamical system given by Eqs.(36) and (37) with $\mathrm{Set}_\kappa(\mathbf{r}; D)$ given by Eq. (40) for the fuzziness parameter κ values 5 (A), 1.9 (B), 1.8 (C), 1.5 (D), 1 (E), 0.1 (F). Other parameter values are $a = 4$, $b = 1.5$ and $\omega = 0.05$.

In Fig. 2 the phase portraits of the dynamical system, Eqs. (36) and (37), with $\mathrm{Set}_\kappa(\mathbf{r}; D)$ given by Eq. (40), are obtained by integrating both forward and backward in time starting from several different initial conditions on the plane and plotted for distinct κ values. The origin is a stable fixed point/focus (panels A and B) and loses stability to a circle-shaped limit cycle at a supercritical Andronov-Hopf bifurcation found at $\kappa = \kappa_c$ with $\kappa_c = 1/\mathrm{arctanh}(1/2) \approx 1.8205$ (panel C). As the fuzziness parameter κ is further decreased, the limit circle gradually deforms to an ellipse (panels D and E), so that at $\kappa = 0.1$ already the border is very close to the limiting shape ∂D which, in Fig. 2 corresponds to an ellipse with radii $a = 4$ and $b = 1.5$. We note that the origin can be placed at any point (x_0, y_0) within the ellipse and the theorem would equally hold. This feature can be used in the modeling of relaxation oscillators with strongly asymmetric limit cycles.

Another example is provided by Cassini ovals, which are defined by the set of points in the plane such that the product of the distances to two fixed points is constant. Cassini ovals are polynomial lemniscates of degree 2 given by the equation

$$r^4 - 2a^2r^2\cos 2\theta + a^4 = b^4 \tag{41}$$

where a and b are parameters. If $b < a$ the curve consists of two disconnected loops. For $b = a$ the curve becomes a Bernoulli lemmniscate with a double point at the origin [34, 35]. When $b > a$ the curve is a single loop enclosing the origin and, in this case, our theorem applies. Let us then consider $b > a$, with ∂D being given by Eq. (42). The domain D is, therefore, given by the inequality

$$r^4 - 2a^2r^2\cos 2\theta + a^4 < b^4 \tag{42}$$



FIG. 3: Limit cycles obtained in the long time limit for the dynamical system given by Eqs.(36) and (37) with $\mathrm{Set}_\kappa(\mathbf{r};D)$ given by Eq. (44) for $\kappa = 0.1$, $a = 1$, $\omega = 0.02$ and four distinct values of b indicated on the curves.

This set is specified by the Boolean characteristic function

$$\mathrm{Set}(\mathbf{r};D) = \mathcal{B}_{--}\left(r^4 - 2a^2r^2\cos 2\theta + a^4, b^4\right) \tag{43}$$

from which the fuzzy version is easily obtained as

$$\mathrm{Set}_\kappa(\mathbf{r};D) = \mathcal{B}_\kappa\left(r^4 - 2a^2r^2\cos 2\theta + a^4, b^4\right) \tag{44}$$

In Fig. 3 the ω-limit sets of the flow given by Eqs.(36) and (37) with $\mathrm{Set}_\kappa(\mathbf{r};D)$ given by Eq. (44) are plotted in the plane for $\kappa = 0.1$, $a = 1$ and the values of b indicated on the curves. These are all limit cycles and we observe that for $a < b < a\sqrt{2}$ the limit cycle has the shape of a peanut and for $a\sqrt{2} < 2$ it bounds a convex domain. Thus, the limit cycles coincide with the curves given by Eq. (42) in the asymptotic regime κ small, as predicted by our theorem.

If we define the complex number $W \equiv re^{i\theta}$ both Eqs. (36) and (37) can be written in a single equation as

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \left(i\omega + \mathrm{Set}_\kappa(\mathbf{r};D) - \frac{1}{2}\right)W \tag{45}$$

with $\mathbf{r} = \left(\frac{W+W^*}{2} - x_0, \frac{W-W^*}{2i} - y_0\right)$ where W^* denotes the complex conjugate of W. This equation can be viewed as a generalization of the Stuart-Landau equation [36–38] to non-sinusoidal limit-cycle oscillators. Note that, because of the W^* dependence, Eq. (45) is not generally invariant under the phase transformation $W \to We^{i\xi}$ where ξ is an arbitrary constant phase: Phase invariance is broken as a consequence of the anharmonicity of the oscillations.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work we have presented a new approach to fuzzy sets and applied it to establish a theorem on the shaping of limit-cycle oscillators in smooth nonlinear dynamical systems. The result may be useful in the mathematical modeling of nonlinear oscillatory phenomena of biological interest, as those involving relaxation oscillators [10] (in which the shape of the limit cycle strongly departs from a circle). We have discussed two specific examples involving elliptic limit cycles and attracting Cassini ovals.

The approach to fuzzy sets presented makes use of the one-parameter family of functions called $\mathcal{B}_\kappa$-functions that we have recently introduced [6]. The fuzziness parameter κ governs how much a smooth set departs from a 'crisp' (classical) set. We have given expressions for the negation, union and intersection of fuzzy sets that are all different to the original formulation of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [1]. Computation with our expressions is straightforward and does not make use of the maximum/minimum operators for the union/intersection of fuzzy sets.

With the help of fuzzy sets and the theorem on nonlinear oscillators proved here, we have established a differential equation for nonlinear oscillators in the complex plane, Eq. (45), in terms of a complex order parameter W. This equation can be viewed as a generalization of the Stuart-Landau equation [36–38] to non-sinusoidal oscillators. Note that a Hilbert transform can be performed on periodic experimental signals bringing the dynamical system to a phase and amplitude representation [37]. The empirical analysis of the shape of the oscillations as a function of the experimental control parameter, when brought in connection with the general model Eq. (45), may help to get insight in complex nonlinear phenomena. Furthermore, an ensemble of non-sinusoidal oscillators, each individually described by Eq. (45) can be diffusively coupled so that a generalized Ginzburg-Landau equation is obtained. In this way, the impact of the anharmonicity of the oscillations on the spatiotemporal dynamics of spatially extended oscillatory media can be systematically studied.

The interest of Eq. (45) is that, although it constitutes a *local* model at each point in phase space, it allows to understand how *global* information of the phase space affects the local dynamics as the fuzziness parameter κ is being varied. Thus fuzzy sets, as presented here, allow global properties of the phase space of dynamical system to be addressed/specified.

---

[1] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets. Inform. Control **8**, 338-353 (1965).

[2] D. Klaua, Über einen Ansatz zur mehrwertigen Mengenlehre. Monatsb. Deutsch. Akad. Wiss. Berlin **7**, 859-876 (1965).

[3] J. A. Goguen, The logic of inexact concepts. Synthese **19**, 325-373 (1969).

[4] H.-J. Zimmermann, Fuzzy set theory. WIREs Comp. Stats. **2**, 317 (2010).

[5] H.-J. Zimmermann, *Fuzzy set theory and its applications* (Kluwer, Boston, 2001).

[6] V. García-Morales, From deterministic cellular automata to coupled map lattices. J. Phys. A.: Math. Theor. **49**, 295101 (2016).

[7] V. García-Morales, Cellular automata connections. arXiv:1701.01281 [nlin-CG] (2017).

[8] V. García-Morales, Nonlinear homotopic embeddings: Finding all roots of polynomials in the complex plane without factorization. arXiv:1607.02889 [math-ph] (2016).

[9] V. García-Morales, Universal map for cellular automata. Phys. Lett. A **376**, 2645 (2012).
[10] G. B. Ermentrout and D. Terman. *Mathematical Foundations of Neuroscience* (Springer, New York, 2010).
[11] B. van der Pol. On relaxation oscillations I. Phil. Mag., **2**, 978-992, (1926).
[12] R. FitzHugh. Thresholds and plateaus in the Hodgkin-Huxley nerve equations. J. Gen. Physiol., **43**, 867-896 (1960).
[13] J. S. Nagumo, S. Arimoto, and S. Yoshizawa. An active pulse transmission line simulating nerve axon. Proc. IRE, **50**, 2061-2070 (1962).
[14] R. J. Fields and R. M. Noyes. Oscillations in chemical systems. IV. Limit cycle behavior in a model of a real chemical oscillation. J. Chem. Phys., **60**, 1877-1884 (1974).
[15] B. P. Belousov. *A periodic reaction and its mechanism.* in Field, R. J. and Burger, M. (Eds.), *Oscillations and traveling waves in chemical systems*, pp. 605-613 (Wiley, New York, 1985)
[16] A. M. Zhabotinsky, F. Buchholtz, A. B. Kiyatkin, and I. R. Epstein. Oscillations and waves in metal-ion-catalyzed bromate oscillating reactions in highly oxidized states. J. Phys. Chem., **97**,7578-7584 (1993).
[17] C. Morris, and H. Lecar. Voltage oscillations in the barnacle giant muscle fiber. Biophysical J., **35**, 193-213 (1981).
[18] R. Guantes and J. Poyatos. Dynamical principles of two-component genetic oscillators. PLoS Computational Biology, **2**:e30 (2006).
[19] L. Segel and A. Goldbeter. Scaling in biochemical kinetics: dissection of a relaxation oscillator. J. Math. Biol., **32**,147-160 (1994).
[20] A. Goldbeter and R. Lefever. Dissipative structures for an allosteric model: application to glycolytic oscillations. Biophys. J., **12**, 1302-1315 (1972).
[21] D. Gonze, N. Markadieu, and A. Goldbeter. Selection of in-phase or out-of-phase synchronization in a model based on global coupling of cells undergoing metabolic oscillations. Chaos, **18**, 037127 (2008).
[22] P. Westermark and A. Lansner. A model of the phosphofructokinase and glycolytic oscillations in pancreating β-cell. Biophys. J., **85**,126-139 (2003).
[23] V. García-Morales, Symmetry analysis of cellular automata. Phys. Lett. A **377**, 276 (2013).
[24] V. García-Morales, Origin of complexity and conditional predictability in cellular automata. Phys. Rev. E **88**, 042814 (2013).
[25] V. García-Morales, Substitution systems and nonextensive statistics. Physica A **440**, 110-117 (2015).
[26] H. Whitney, Characteristic functions and the algebra of logic, Ann. of Math., II. Ser. **84**, 405 (1933).
[27] H. Whitney, A logical expansion in mathematics. Bull. Amer. math. Soc. **88**, 572 (1932).
[28] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics Vol. I* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1997).
[29] V. García-Morales, arXiv:1608.00861 [math.GM] (2016).
[30] S. Strogatz, *Nonlinear dynamics and chaos* (Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado, 2014).
[31] I. Bendixson, Sur les courbes définies par des équations différentielles, Acta Math. **24**, 1 (1901).
[32] G. Teschl, *Ordinary differential equations and dynamical systems* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2012).

[33] Y. A. Kuznetsov, *Elements of applied bifurcation theory* (Springer, New York, 2010).
[34] D. F. Lawden, Families of ovals and their orthogonal trajectories, Mathematical Gazette **83** 410-420 (1999).
[35] A. B. Basset, *An Elementary Treatise on Cubic and Quartic Curves*, (Deighton Bell and Co., London, 1901).
[36] Y. Kuramoto, *Chemical Oscillations, Waves and Turbulence* (Springer, Berlin, 1984).
[37] A. Pikovsky, M. Rosenblum and J. Kurths, *Synchronization: A universal concept in nonlinear sciences* (Cambridge University Press, 2001).
[38] V. García-Morales and K. Krischer, The complex Ginzburg-Landau equation: an introduction, Contemp. Phys. **53**, 79-95 (2012).